1) I want to get a Ph.D.
2) I want to become a professor
3) I want to get a job in R&D/industry
4) I want to become an expert in Theory
5) I want to understand the plurality of science.
Projection as structuralism

Does it make sense?

"right is right"

Statistics, predictions, engineering, applied math

Pure math
programming vs. research

Engineering Science
"building stuff"

Computer Science
"applied discrete math"

OR

- Taylor
- Denning
- Manovich
- Shinnick

SSM + CST

- Descartes
- Fermat
- Spinoza
- Heisenberg
- Fisher?
Star Trek

Mirror Image

Achelous

"major loss"

Repress

Antici

Godard

Marx

read, write, discuss

Jules Verne

creating a world

Danae bend = discipline writing

Not action

Superman

Strong. Might is right.

Poe.

Spiderman
Structuralism vs Pragmatism

- Athens
- Plato
- Socrates
- Feminine

Life is a puzzle
World is a puzzle
Model is a book
How to solve the puzzle of life

Might is right
Life is war

How to win the game of life
LEFT

Religion

Church

Together

Family

puzzle solving

RIGHT

structural NS projective
within the motivation for research.

1. Describe the puzzle to be solved.
2. Motivate why this puzzle is
   interesting
3. Explain how I add a piece to the puzzle
Motivation

I have been doing Action Research for a long time. What is my problem?

My problem = understanding what the problem is
- defining the puzzle
- Scrum is game and puzzle
  - social
  - individual

My problem = how to design a conventional SPI process as either a research process,
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- It is perhaps better with an hypothesis. What is the hypothesis in the FAS case?
- Research has to be made. Why?
- How should it be written?

- I need to clarify with the chapter structure. Why?
What is the hypothesis?

I believe that I can use a structural model approach to TAM, using the socially constructed QRS rather than the real QRS.

Dearing and Taylor et al. are firmed in pragmatism. They believe that multivariate models are only useful to the extent that they can be used for prediction purposes and causing real-world action.

My belief is a contrary belief. In this paper, I will argue that an observer...

might be a better foundation for
This paper will argue that
fractals can be
used in SP1.

Hypothesis: It is possible to achieve
continuous improvement by only
focusing on meaning and forgetting
whether
During & Tynan influence by prejudice. Most marginalized focus on prejudice. It is more important to think something works than if we understand it or not.

I would like to agree against this.

Hypothesis:

social

prison

real

freedom
Hypothesis:

Social

Real

1) Trust people and leave them alone.

What do I do?

- I test whether they do what they say they do.

Hypothesis: People do what they say they do.
1) Hypothesis: People should be left alone.

2) Hypothesis: The vulnerability of the person in each case of itself, no need for control.

3) Hypothesis: People do not follow the rules.
1) I think people are rational, and follow rules. I would like to modify this.

2) I think people have difficulty understanding rules and regulations, and without proper control or system make fool-proof, they will not follow. I see no.

H0: People follow these rules.
1) what can't be measured can't be controlled

2) people follow standards

3) people don't follow standards

doubly hypocritical is not enough . . .

Moral of the case:
All involved in the genre, they are all poisoned.
1) If an organism is prisioned in a belief about being perfect, is it possible to liberate them?

2) It is impossible to liberate an organism from unproductive beliefs unless one has unargued commitment.