1. Why was I interested about IS?
2. Why does IS suddenly feel right?

- algorithm
- numerical analysis
- books
- knowledge

- control
- prediction
- ISO 9000
- Mathematics/Statistics

journal

library

read

write

discuss

TQM
Writing a scientific paper is like dissecting an experiment!

1. Introduction
2. Literature
3. Materials
4. Methods
5. Discussion
Admitting nursing to QFR

Problem

Train keel and wee. Send AR with other groups to other places now but. This was also done at Torquay.

What is particularly keel. (PP)?

We see slurs about conflicts between engineers and nurses and showing how anything becomes better when we take the nurses seriously.

Well, this cannot be said with sure to one because I believe in 70s and Scientific Management and mathematics and statistics.
However, by reading about the history of 15 and Imperial Science, the focus is on the system or on the infrastructure rather than on people, and anything feels nice.

I like design, I like movement and I like systems. But, the context of implementing 15 is difficult. Is Google making us more stupid? Are nurses found to care about something rather than people?

The solution I believe in is the solution of ecology or ecological sustainability.
What does ecology...

Advanvng democracy...

Problem

A professor of 18 marked his 20 students to collaborate, that the hypothesis will not that the one and hence discuss meetings, but he did not hear them to participate. However, as he

Hypothesis

By having the students design from and conduct by themselves, but then apply their own research methods, and that just not one of the students learn manner the process by using their process step system should be.
Theory

Apply what gets measured gets done and accept that their will and.

Methods

Band on pure expense of K7A4, using a stop watch doing something in order to keep their intact.

Results
A Go what happened. We asked
the students. They said all
kinds of things.

Discussion

1) It failed because there was no
original idea in doing and
discussing the papers. No expects.

2) Their interest are all gone. None
of them understood the paper.
The paper were irrelevant.
"The blind leading the blind."

3) When the teacher asked them
down, the group broke down.
Algorithm I

The Hamel document

Algorithm II

⇒ GA, genetic algorithms
Grouping domestic and a group of international students.

Introduction.
Foreign MSc students can suffer from identity issues.
Female vs. male student publications.
Lack of adequate access to faculty members for supervision or feedback.

Problem: PhD module (Phillips & Lutfi, 2000).

Hypothesis: module gets examined and be self-done may not be sufficient, but it is necessary for doctor's progress & write PhD successfully.
Argument

We applied SPC.
→ It worked.
We dropped SPC
→ It collapsed.

⇒ It doesn't work as well as the COBOL case.

What was the idea that made the COBOL case work?

1) Margueridt! Many tells us that "what gets measured gets done."
1) We need to standardize PhD education, and there is a general need.

2) Hypothesis: "What gets measured gets done."

3) Experiment: PP + II D + W + NGD

3.1 The experiment fails.

3.2 Why did it fail? Influence.

4) Discussion:

1) Manual was designed by "top-down" (top-down reasoning).

2) Explanations by students should make sense.

Social pressure is WCRG's concern. The gambit is to handle this issue.
Insights from complex adaptive systems theory for making people policies work

1. Introduction

A small unit of SPA people are supposed to control a large set of projects. As there is not enough resource for proper understanding of the whole system top-down, it would be wise to apply a CAS approach.
Hypothesis

Although the GA method has been used and found to
solve a certain aspect, it should also meet the needs.

Experiment

Include a few GA runs for 5 large projects. Based on
the results according to COBIT standards,
Evaluating results in a large
sum of reports. Besides only
project X, also the need to
give a presentation

- Make people assess strength,
The exhibit waited for a period of 4 to 6 minutes, then collapsed.

Discussion

Did it melt? Yes.
- Requires that the arc at least 3.5 meters.
- Requires ETA report for summarizing results.

"What gets measured gets done"?