This field acknowledges F.W. Taylor, it is formed on doing manuscript work, like those done by EPC, and it looks for explanations in psychology.

In other words, it cites like a very good framework for doing AIR.

An attempt would be to look at Industrial Engineering. This is very much the same stuff, although explanations are to be found in technology rather than people.

How do each of these knowledge domains get in the IS research? DIS - design of Information Systems.

- Design Theory
- Information Theory
- Systems Theory
Design of IS/IT Systems

Humans • Mathematics • Technology

Participatory Design

Theory I: A belief in user participation and democracy, political constraint.

1) Is democracy on the wrong place more efficient?
2) How to make more effective PD methods?

"Does the current PD method work?"

What is the difference between AR/PA and iOP?
Does it mean:

- Many kinds of failure
- Incompetence
- Complexity
- Lack of user participation

- 150,000 is a special case of 15,

⇒ create handle info
distribute handle info
apply knowledge info
organize info.
I want to address the problem of ISO 9000 development from the perspective of OD.

**Hypothesis**: WDRG is it should be possible

⇒ Nobody wants to follow standards, but they find it practical that others do.

⇒ Motivation is important.

⇒ WDRG is a single value to principle, often herald by

Margaret H.
What motivates me?

I want to understand the model in order to be able to take care of myself and my family.

I want to understand in order to predict and take appropriate action (meaning: predict our model)
In order to improve my own efficiency, I should develop some goal-control-action method.

How to measure progress on anything a paper?

1) **Purpose**

2) **Goal**

3) **Plan**

4) **Action**

GTD
Action Research - Ph D.

PD = ARIS Scandium

+ trade (political/democracy)

Claims:
1) PD builds systems that are better for managers.
2) PD increases product service quality.

Using PD as basis for QIR - Repubs pour a preponderant DED...
Observe: people don't like to follow leaders, but they would like others to do so.

In order to solve this situation:
- Forge standards upon the agency's own
on.
- Make the agency deliver their own standing bottom-up and
  order their audience to...
As goal setting and measurement is often considered unfair (cf.), is it possible to use WCGGT as a guideline for \( PD \)?

Does it work?

Then

\[
PP = AR \text{ in the context of 15 fund on "Scandinavian models",}
\]

\[
\text{came was compared with measure.}
\]

\[
\text{10P research seems positive}
\]
Method
PD = AR = 15.
"Greenhouse" expand with filling MB students.

P - P - C ≤ QJR
student

4. Procedure
4.1 Setting of the fire
4.2 Staying fire

4.3 Injuries for first victims
Discussion

1) Further of "collection of "socio-technical". The problem with many is that it is "systemic once".

2) The blind leading the blind

3) Those who perpetrator act, either lead nothing or say on miss reportededly.

Conclusion

General reader's please read P.D.

1) The SPL measures
6.1 The blind leading the blind

During the past 20 years, "science-based pragmatism" has changed the climate for the intellectually disabled. In 1968-1985, the focus was on

under the various ideologies of PD/AR and with
the dominant ideology of their
students now live as a reality
man must now face a
reality that is more focused on "what's in it for me."
concerned with collective responsibility has to a certain exchange been changed into a mentality that asks "what's in it for me". As argued by S. James (1989), the idea of the great narratives has changed into a "how much was fragmented into multiple stories of relational reality,"

Appealing to social conscience is not enough. Unless each member of the organization has something personal to gain from giving the world as a system or being part of a collective
Checkland (1981) defines...

This illustrates the problem with having to deal with managers in daily AR.

An organism is literally drowned as a tyranny, not a democracy. At the zenith of a manager, an AR performer that can be going on is just about to achieve may be destroyed. Real: One can never be too careful about managers. Feed them happy stories, and hope that they will leave the AR project alone.
6.3 Negative misperception of value.

Nobody likes to be a pest or a nuisance. Rather than admit that consulting went wrong to expect that members of a PR project will admit to being part of something that was unsuccessful, it seems more likely to expect that they will conjure up all sorts of explanations. Perhaps they even believe what they are saying, in order to avoid resenting the initial problem of cognitive dissonance.
Further Research

The SQA framework appears insufficient as per its purpose for being AR. Better seen collecting activities for acting SQA is not sufficient for establishing a real sociologically explicit its genuine to focus on a quasi-experimental setup.

unnecessary and superficial inform that is helpful for generating fact-based dialogue among organizational members.