Og så gør det helt kun dig, for vi har en "banon" av jordelés or tid. Jeg læse Schelling "modernebes opfyldning" men det er kun telegarnstori. Det diferier.

Vorvendt hvor 15 kvar studere, men det som lide om 15/16/17’er i sig ikke.


Sosiologi i således kom fri i signe navnede/kræfter.
- A -

plan → do → check

ach

ach B
draw panels
draw c
draw d

A → B → C → D

Plan → Do → Check

Five process

SPL

GA
What can I do to improve my daily routine?

1. Purpose
   - write scientific papers, contribute knowledge.
   - understand a theory (field)
   - publish or present.

Research diary is a way of discussing with myself. (Write writing)
What do the drawing mean?

Method for making the research process applicable with ISO 9000.

Does the method work?

Audit driven method, one audit each week.

Does the method work?

ISO 9004 score improves, but measured method not too good.

What about interventions?

School intern is the "research doing."
Looking at ISO 8004 is too broad.

I have tried to impose the other reporting systems. This is related to chapter 5.

Resource management in chapter 6 is not something I can do a lot about besides cost-reducing costs and costs. This for making important cost-efficiencies.

*⇒ Writing a paper typically takes X hours (on average).*

Chapter 7 deals with the sequence in planning, production, finance and validation.

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Plan} & \rightarrow & \text{Do} \\
\text{proposal} & \rightarrow & \text{expanded} \\
& & \text{review}
\end{array} \]

\[ \text{Check} \rightarrow \text{defense} \]
Planning

My greatest weakness or the greatest weakness of the current ISO 9000 system is that it is poor on planning. Why?

- I tend to get confused quickly or sidetracked. It feels better to start doing something and then adjust the course.

- It feels better to be doing than planning. You can show what you have been doing and talk about what you have done, but showing plans and talking about plans sound stupid as they are nothing has been done.

⇒ Research doing document plans.
"Natural planning" model from GTD.

1. Purpose
2. Goals/Tests
3. Brainstorm
4. Organize
5. Next action
Purpose

I need to implement G7P planning because I need to increase my way of getting things done at work.

Vision/Goal

I would like to have the model described on the web page and proof that I am following it.
Brainstorm

- Should I keep both a daytime and main brainstorm maps for projects?

- Project

608-25

Paper 1

1. Purpose ("title")
2. Vision
3. Brainstorm A B C D ...
4. Organize A B C D ... ("outline")
5. Next action (plan for tomorrow)
Designing Discussion Forums

Production of scientific papers.

Purpose
Redesign web page in order to document BTB-planning and thus improve planning process.

Vision
Total timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>9/1/09</th>
<th>8/1/09</th>
<th>7/1/09</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vision</td>
<td>9/1/09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brainstorm</td>
<td>9/1/09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organize</td>
<td>9/1/09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Next step</td>
<td>9/1/09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Vision**

"Dream"  
Web page

**Brainstorm**

**EGOS-25: Paper 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Vision</th>
<th>Main Stem</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Task Setting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**Brainstorm**

- This planning model fits with the theory of how designers think, i.e., drawing solutions while trying to understand the problem.

- What about the process if some solutions can already be camouflaging?

- I should need a pool of ongoing projects, planned projects, and terminated projects.

- Could I link former sites in old fashion onto new wiki pages for new staffers?
Brainstorm

What is the outcome/theory of a scientific paper?

- contribution to science
- accept/reject hypothesis
- hypothesis + result
- interpretation?

"What" the paper is about,
as compared with the "why" of purpose.

Next Action

Implement system for EGOS/AR.
Evaluator

The expected design with T605-25 seemed to work fine.

We did manage to produce some ideas, but the paper itself publicly needs more time to develop.

Test the concept for plugging implementation of ISO 9004?

Should this be documented on the web in a similar fashion?
This seems like a good idea, and perhaps I could produce a conference paper based on the 150 5004 experiment.