1) What is QIR?

    \Rightarrow \text{Make an overview}

2) How to review papers?

    \Rightarrow \text{Intervention}

\[ A \longrightarrow \boxed{\text{Change}} \longrightarrow B \]

\[ \uparrow \]

\[ \text{Understand} \updownarrow \text{Change} \]
What is OIR?

1) $\Phi (\cos \nu)$

A \[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{time}
\end{array} \]

B

\Rightarrow The first Levin approach is change.

2) Designing interventions.

\[ \text{plan disturbance} \]
Designing interventions in the shape of flow charts, and check lists.

Intervention = Re-programming.
Only by understanding the organization as a **SYSTEM** is it possible to plan INTERVENTIONS.

**An INTERVENTION is a SYSTEM RE-DESIGN.**

Typical systems.

1) Railroad system
2) Command system
3) Waterfall system
Auditory Immune Research (AIR)

1) Make a systems map of the organization
2) Collect data on current flow
3) Design intervention
4) Monitor intervention & collect data
5) Evaluate intervention
6) Update theory and start new cycle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Systems (diff. equilibria?)</th>
<th>Variables (SPC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge (PPCA)</td>
<td>Psychology</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Review Process

1) Describe the system

5 papers to review

I read and try to understand

I present my review

Ready for more papers to review
2) Variation.

1) I need to record cycle time and resource spent on each paper.

I need to record whether the review is properly done on web. (complicated)

3) Knowledge

As of now I have very little knowledge about the review process. The current process is not described as a system, no data is collected, no assessments or improvements are done.
How should I record the reviews.

1) Web page?
2) QuickRef Manual?
3) A particular folder?

Web page

- makes it possible to store actual reviews in local folders.
- problem with evolution of copies refills,
- makes it possible to gradually develop a format for how to do reviews.
Vigusse's paper

Purpose

1) He stands under

2) Problem with multitasking.
   Unless we can back up that IS design
   and use in two different processes,
   I will believe the contrary.
Nijussie

Research description

Background

Expected to find

Found:

What is the logic of the PhD thesis?

Logic of a research proposal?

Research description = public domain?

Research approach = solution design?
Research description

I. Presentation of the practical problem
   = 18 implications

II. Assessment of current knowledge
I. Practical problem

Developed country control (HSP).

Not only technical challenges, but also social challenges.

II. What do we know about solving these types of problems?

I

Would our start with the practical or the theoretical?
Research Description

Expanding on theoretical underpinnings for the research, but there are no references here, only claims.

However, the topic of studying with general claims about the general case of IS development and the meaning narrowing in on the particular case of developing canonical makes sense.

The research question follows naturally from the motivation, but seems to be misguided because an in-depth analysis of planaristic houses we examined.
Research approach

Theoretical ideas

Empirical study

I would expect theoretical ideas to kick against methodology. Surely then a CTV has already been written about ANT, SCOT & IS.

WICHERIE = 79 min
1) We need a systems perspective of the organization.

2) We need a narrowed descriptive (baseline) of central processes.

3) We design interventions and use PPCA for investigating whether they lead to improvements.

4) We use stability social theory for interpreting how people are trapped in the system and what actions lead to failure with understanding the system.

Pecary Model.
Could I use the design needed on the IS academics case?

1) Draw a map of the system

   ![Diagram]

2) Venners (brain between meetings and people stemming up)

3) Memorandum
   I. Initial ideas, binges, with, smart, ranks, SPR
   II. Eliminate ranks c SPR

4) Discussion
   The system was not good enough, not industry.