General instructions

Title: How to review a scientific paper

I. Introduction

Change has been steady so...
x has steadily been in, y has been
change i.e. in the case of inquiry
how to review is papers, not
too much seems to have been
done.

2. Desirous instructions for any one the
review process

Here are several issues that could be
inclusion to investigate...

Flow System
3. Required

\[ \text{Diagram with labeled parts: } P, B, C, D, \text{ and other labels.} \]

1) Sheol with described coordinates
   perpendicular with 89.6 degrees.
2) Then one cancels out the inhuman
3) The one should be able to test
   whether the inhuman leads to an improved or not.
4. Results

The SPC design could be suggested by tests elsewhere within the impact sketch if significant or not.

5. Discussion

I can measure the time of making reviews, and cycle times, but difficult to measure quality. I need to develop an indicator framework for measuring quality.
Design and development review is a process in section 7.

7.1, 7.2, 7.3, 7.4, 7.5, 7.6

It is parallel to the process of writing papers.

⇒ As I review new papers, then I make, the review process is publicity a good way of improving writing.

⇒ How does this relate to the processes in section 8?

Process I = writing papers.
Process II = reviewing papers.
How could I use 180,000 for

during research?

Could I use 180,000 for
displaying interactions?

Could I compare the QA advice
from research libraries with the
QA advice for 180,000?
Reviewing papers is important because I am then on the customer side of the process.

Section 8

The important issue is to

1) **Know what to measure** (plan)
2) **Know what to measure** how to collect data (do)
3) **Know how to identify implicit data** (check)
4) **Know how to analyze** (study)
5) **Know what actions to do** (act)
Section 8 is similar to PDCA

What kind of research questions are relevant in this context?

There is a total of 78 research questions related to ISO 9001:2008.

I wrote a paper on her "review process," and I only need to select one question as I will use this for designing an intervention and see what happens.

What might be a good干预?
In order to write a good review, I will probably need to rephrase the paper.

\[ \text{Most important} = \text{cost} + \text{time} \]

As soon as I get something to review, I should review it as quickly and cheaply as possible.
Process improvement theory care, hospitability and cultivation

1. Introduction
   Change Subjection

   Burial - Morgan Change

   Objective

   Stability

   Phenomenology
   - Care
   - Hospitality
   - Cultivation

   Natural Science
   - Models
   - Methods
What did I learn?

1) Positivism is concerned with the superficial (abstractions)
   
   - Jevs Bratthall

2) Phenomenology is care for the particular.
   
   - Jevs Rachelli

It makes sense to care for each other's writings, but not for a random selection of papers.
By personal experience of
a writing group = nice
reading group = challenging.

Story =

1. Based on the success of a
writing group, a reading
group was established.

2. New members were introduced.

- with

- email list

- feedback
C. A new writing group has been established.

No wiki, no email discussion, no numerical feedback.

The group focuses only on care, hospitality, and cultivation.
How do we frame the story?