1. Introduction

Process improvement

Taylor is a scientific approach.

Idealisation, abstraction...

Industrial engineering.

Taylor (1911, p. 222) warned against the use of scientific management without consideration. Denning (1984) said that 97% of people problems in industry were caused by management. Nevertheless...
Despite the warnings and concerns of the people who researched contributed to the research and development of the methods, when the methods are taken over by others they turn out to be disasters. Business, search for insights are substituted with search for profit.

In the case of IT, Chibovva (1998) argues even more critically, saying that the problem is inherent problem with management science and information systems research is a
consequence of how the traditional scientific method works.

Science is a process of abstracting and generalizing, often necessary for building predictive models. Even in modern science, people have a tendency for confusing the model with reality. When this occurs, differences in the social sciences the consequence may be

dramatic because as mentioned, using these predictive models outside of confining them to the predictions provided by the predictive models with an understanding of people may be like identification as two separate, different things.
Research question?
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Method: [Blank]

The complexity of the world has important

And it required standard like ISO 9001: 2000 require that process alignment. It is also required by CAN/
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→ use Citrona for pointing out the need for increased understanding at SP!
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Positing the problem: what is the problem?

a) Practical feature

b) Trap in theory of love to solve the practical problem

Problem = We would love to produce change (improvement) in ...

Case study = change in ...
improvement of group behavior

Cibouva = Social science, studying people.
Case study: quality circle discussion forum

cibouva = instructors admired by SPC.

⇒ Forget about Cibouva in the title and make about discussion forum instead?
PURPOSE

I need to write a PCA example paper.

METHODS + RESULTS

Problem = CMIM does not work well because SPC causes adjectives.

Ciboula's problem =

1. Models are unstable for real data
2. Breakdown
3. 