What is interesting about the philosophy of science debate about "semiotic theories"?

- Philosophy deals with religion, ethics, meaning etc.

- The model approach makes sense with my background in mathematics.

Unbelievable results + incredible articulation?
Kryssbild

\( T_1 \) 
\[
\text{menneske} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{stern}
\]
\[
\text{teml}
\]

\( T_2 \) 
\[
\text{stern} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{menneske}
\]
\[
\text{bakken} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{menn for}
\]

Vur deren \( T_1 \), feil?

Hva det vil si at \( T_2 \)?

\[
\text{menn for}
\]
\[
\text{stern}
\]
\[
\text{bakken}
\]
\[
\Rightarrow \text{forstudium for bekkselling}
\]
Why am I concerned with numbers?

- I am not so concerned with finding art out of things as I am about expressing my self (artist).
- During my crises, since as 2004 and being 2 disabled in 2007, I focused on philosophy.
- During my master's degree, I was primarily interested in philosophy.
Philosophy is important because it has to do with meaning.

My philosophy:

1) Knowledge is important, anything is unknowable. God is a unknown.

Evaluation should be done fairly, according to unknown.

2) Evolution is based on creativity. We should try to develop models, as creatively as we can.

My philosophy:

1) Develop models

2) Evaluate models by use of unknowables.
Example 1: I like to develop
tableaux during the
improvisation, but it should
be evaluated according to
multilateral methods.

Example 2: I like to develop
research in a totally random and
unpredictable manner, but it
should be evaluated according
to authorities.

Example 3: I like to play the
organ in a chaotic manner,
but it should be evaluated
by one of objective criteria.
Example 1. I like to draw pictures in a random manner, but I would like to have them evaluated in a systematic and objective manner, in order to learn.

By philosophy.

The science of art and the art of science.

1) I like to analyze what appears to be random (space 1985).
2) I like to improve what is expected to be symmetrical (e.g., balloon drop).
The philosophy of scientific thinking seems to get a bit more because

1) It seems to mesh as a total philosophy. It meshes
   our understanding, phenomenology, as science.

2) And meshes our concern with
   other people and understood
   their ways in terms of
   artists being engineers
   and engineers being designers.

3) I can think of myself as Picasso
   or Rembrandt.
What is IS research? Is it the same as STS?

Shows how IS is used for 

van praaggen presents a 

different picture. The reality may talk about how the dual 

primal real knowledge to be 

van praaggen's perspective indicates the politics get 

lost and we can better focus on science as a non-political activity.
To me the non-political way of doing science seems better.

Rublin them fighting these people I don't believe. I want to be cultivable and grow ideas along those people I do like.

To me this cultivation strategy means to fit much better with the kind of atmosphere between [see than the cultivated good].

HeurISTICS tells us that we cannot disagree with something we don't understand. I believe in harmony and understanding.
2009 - 2
2008 - 2
2007 - 2
2006 - 0

Types of research:

DSR = improving research
research
design mutual x 2

AR

Homesteading = strategy for culture

Homestead = what gets done
Methods (continued)

1. Generize afr
2. Improvize member (RIS-2)

AR (social strategy)

1. ECIS
2. System 85
3. System 87
4. HKSS
5. AICSS
6. IRIS - 24

Phenomenology

1. What gets measured gets done
h = 8
8 people live inside 8

h = 6
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$h = 6$

Autopods are abaxial.

1. Introduction.

$56^\circ 300^\circ$

D $\rightarrow$ D $\rightarrow$ C $\rightarrow$

QA

2.ulum mani
3. Advantages

4. Case study

- ISO 9000 at DNV

- Supplier ISO 9000 at SKD, RN and interloc

- CNM, ISO 15504, EFQM/CAF, BSC,

- ISO 9000 SPS, 5 BNV

- ISO 9000 Indura/Astypalena

- ISO 9000 Tove

- ISO 9000 DNV
What does the sky tell us?

- Politics of diversity standards.
- We tried without leaders, one success.
- We hired local leaders SPS.
  Okay, but not possible to
  hand over, not possible to
  learn process.

- COBIT.

And points.