It feels right to read Ronald Brown, and this gives me the impression that I should read more by him and also by the people he agrees with, e.g. Labour, Conservatives etc.

But when does their lead me?

It leads towards philosophy of science, but to me this is only relevant in the case of trying to understand schizophrenia of the whole speed/whole pole kind.
Why is it useful to read about the "science wars"?
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When we look at the history of anything, it quickly becomes interesting because we can understand our own lives in the grand picture. **Meaning, interpretation.**

Each of the arts, fields, fields and tricks, but on the whole science remains (niques of lots of "fake science").